

Session 9: Engineering Demonstration and R&D Plans

(Organizers: H. Braun & W. Kozanecki)

○ Part 1: R&D proposals

- ① A plan of ATF Final Focus Test Beam Line (J. Urakawa)
- ① NLC vibration program and LINX (T. Markiewicz)
- ① Plans and priorities for the CLIC stability study (R. Assmann)
- ① A proposal to demonstrate gamma-gamma collisions at the SLC IP (M. Velasco)

○ Part 2: Towards a common strategy

- ① Colliding nanobeams: What do we need to demonstrate? (T. Mattison)
- ① Linear colliders and light sources: Issues of common interest (L. Rivkin)
- ① Parameters and potential 'nanobeam' application of test-beam facilities (S. Schreiber)
- ① Brainstorming on R&D Priorities (SLAC NLC group)
- ① Discussion

R&D Themes & Facilities

<u>Themes</u>	<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Comments</u>
IP stabilization	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. FD girder test (Slac) --> LINX ? 2. CLIC Stabilization study --> CTF3 FFS test ? 3. FF @ ATF-2 	<p style="text-align: center;">Start 2003-4?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ongoing 2002-04</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Start date tbc?</p>
Optics demonstrations	FF@ATF2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R-S FF design => 3.5 μm x 50 nm • tail-folding • (+ collim. survival tests?) 	
FD magnet technology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compact SC w/ appropriate vibration • PM with appropriate vibration/T-stability/adjustability 	<p><i>Either/both to supply</i></p> <p><i>ATF2 FD to 'focus' effort?</i></p>
Instrumentation & feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • some <u>are</u> crucial to concept (IP fbk), cost (BPMs), lumi (coll wakefields) • many developments appropriate to Univ. groups • 'The 'right' test beam 	<p>See overviews by (e.g.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M. Ross (S. 7) • S. Schreiber (S. 9) <p>+ laser wire, E msms</p>
X-fertilization : LC <----> light sources, LHC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What can LC learn from them? • LS interest in $\epsilon/10$, $\epsilon/100$ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • vibration ctrl/feedback • small ϵ msmt techniques • (polrzd) low-ϵ guns

Priorities, choices, and concrete plans

(see T. Mattison's talk for 'soul-searching wisdom')

- What we would *really* love is a nm-level colliding nanobeam demo - but this is impractical (today) & maybe even unwise
- What do we absolutely need to demonstrate in order to convince
 - ① ourselves (mostly done?)
 - ① skeptical review committees/funding agencies/HEP competitors ?
 - } system-level demo of FD stabilization (unanimous, or?)
 - } RF (beyond scope of this meeting)
 - } *anything* else ? (e.g. isn't Linac quad stability potentially a hidden problem)?
- What should we (*not*) do to help our credibility
 - ① only what is an essential demo ($\gamma\gamma$ collisions? could do a lot with e + laser only)
 - ① not all projects can be funded in each lab - need a coordinated approach
 - ① *not* 'more than you can chew' (failure dangerous even if for irrelevant reasons)
 - ① in a timely fashion
- Should we form 4 WG to produce coordinated proposals for the themes?